

18.0.1 元亨 (辛)

18.0.2 利涉大川

18.0.3 先甲三日 後甲三日

18.0

- gongheng: "grand offering"; favorable for fording the big river, but it must be on the day three days before the jiā day (辛), and three days after the jiā day (a ding day); gazing quotes Wong Yingke, who observes that xīn and īng day and gǔn day were considered luckier for activities, and ceremonies were more likely to be conducted on those days. cf. 57.5, where 二庚三癸 二辛三壬, i.e. a ding and a gǔn day, are once again even days - cf. K.C. Cheng on doxastic phenomena - how does this fit in?

- On Wanli ("Songguo," p 35-37) 18.0.3 has been interpreted in two ways: 1) to refer to the third day before and after the jiā day; and 2) to refer to three days before and after the jiā day; The second interp attributed in gǔjī to the Zixia zhuan 资夏传 is better best. (and 3) to refer to the first three jiā days (甲子, 甲戌, 甲申) and the last three jiā days, (甲午, 甲辰, 甲寅), after the six days which begin with jiā in the 60-day cycle (this theory proposed by Kong Guoxun 孔廣森). It is the simplest, in the sense that interp one would be a roundabout way of referring to <sup>what was in fact</sup> a xīn day and a īng day. And it fits nicely with a northern custom of allowing <sup>These days are then lucky days for action (following Wong Yingke).</sup> shuāngxiān - a period of plus whenever three days leeway for carrying out the ritual sweeping of the groves on Qingming and the first of the tenth moon - a year. The above argument applies equally <sup>on a yearly basis</sup> to 57.5 二庚三癸 二辛三壬.

But why jiā and gǔn? On thanks refers us to the work on the Shang calendar by Dong Zhiqin, who showed that in the ritual sacrificial cycle of the "new school" of ritual, the sacrifices to former kings commenced with Shang Jia 二辛 (white) those to former royal consorts commenced with Bi geng 二庚, the consort of Shu Ren 二壬, on a gǔn day.

Perhaps the Zhou, hearing the Shang people always refer to these two days as the beginning of the sacrificial cycle, concluded that these were generally lucky days? As a side note, I hexagram 18 deals with gǔn <sup>to</sup> poisoning, which over <sup>longer</sup> time the Shang people were poisoned, although it was not due to lack of knowledge about hygiene - they blamed it on evil curse and performed exorcistic <sup>sacrificial</sup> rituals to seek relief. They would always divine to determine the suitable day for the sacrifice, and

that is the ~~soo here~~ significance of "three days before jia and three days after jia." Although it follows the remark "favourable for reading across the river by river," this is because of the disjointed nature of the *Yi* text. (Were Qu to accept the equation  $\frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$  here, as he does only rarely, the entry "grand offering" (大祭) would strengthen his case further). See further note on the *gǔ* at 18.1.

- also in favor of reading ~~as~~ 18.0.3 大祭 三日... as a period of time as the parallel with 19.0 至于八月有凶

<sup>18.0.3 292</sup>  
following "Wang Yanzhi" <sup>18.0.3 292</sup>  
Yin Xingren Xingzheng <sup>18.0.3 292</sup> and Yin Yu Qunqiu pingshi 1, 112-6 both comment, addic<sup>ting</sup> different info they think is important, but follow the "third day" interp., without justifying. Yin Yu does give analogous situations, such as: manipulating the stalks 3 days before the opening ceremony, and consulting the turtle oracle 3 days before after the birth of a baby (also cited by Yin Xingren)

- Shekhtskaï (p. 152) (18.0.3): [Bewitchful] for three days before the beginning and three days after the beginning."

- <sup>Xi 15,</sup> *Zuozhuan*, refers to this hexagram but says just 三日 instead of 三日  $\pm$  三日, leading Hellmut Wilhelm ("Iching Trigrams in the Zhou-chuan and <sup>they</sup> Luoyi" p. 276) to conclude that <sup>三日 was the</sup> original version here, later generalized to  $\pm$  三日.

- Li Jingzhe ("Shihsien" Tongyuan p. 68) also quotes *Zuo* Xi 15 - the dinner Ban Tu fu + 雷風 encountered the hexagram *Yun*, and says "四日 三日; 三日 二日, 犬其狐也" which Li takes to be a direct quote of some existing *Yi* text at the time.

<sup>Chinese classics</sup> Legge p. 157: "The thousand chariots three are put to flight, what then remains to encatch, — the one fox weight."

- *Maoxiao Chuan* (Antiquity pp148-9) on the *三日*  $\pm$  *三日* collective ancestral sacrifice, of which one was called *F*  $\pm$  *n*. Mao says this meant "collective sacrifice granted as reward" to grandofficers for services rendered. *Mawhah* (#9165.12) quotes a gloss saying *F* means "empty" referring to holding it out of doors. R K: probably *F* is steam

- Zhou Lüxin Boshen Zhongyi Zhenyi p. 6, 7 quotes a <sup>plaster</sup> ~~copy~~ <sup>of</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>#85</sup> ~~one~~ <sup>plaster</sup> from 陕西岐山 ~~又~~ <sup>新</sup> 雜村 (周 #85 plaster) which follows the 數字卦画 hexagram +  (i.e. ䷲ 姤), says "曰其亡咎既魚 (曰田介士既發 約 roughly). He says "既魚" is [like] 即吉 "in the Yu" and 既 is inf. 即 (通假), because of similar shape; it is hexagram 姤 (p. 7)

RK: in rec'd text there is "既无咎" already in 18.1 (§ 18.3 无大咎) obs from "士" (?) must be 尤? (see RK 1985 p. 167)

18.1.1 草今父之盡

18.1

18.1.2 有子考元咎

18.1.3 厥終吉

- 草今父之盡 (also in 18.3 & 4): consider if 草今 here could be used in sense of trunk, stem, cognate with 父 (ancestor of the house) and indicating a lineal descendant, rather than a branch, collateral, line (cf. 二); thus, the line would be a conclusion about general lineal 爻 caused by lineal fathers - cf. Michael says - on 草 (he does not accept question with OB disaster word '咎') but records egs. such as 草 ䷗ ䷗ it is Fu Zi who is 'harming us' ; consider also Zhang Guangzhi et al's theories about dual lineages indicated by 二 and 三 in names of Shang ancestors - is there a connection of use of 'stems' like 二 and 三 with use of 草今 'x' and 草今 'f' here? of 18.0
- 罡 GSR 52.2 \*kō, ䷗ 谓 noxious vermin in the food, in the stomach (��), poison influences, reduction, modesty (谦): 'look for id. business affair (事业)'  
- ䷗ gao ䷗, includes 'to remove the unhygienic from coquettishness', which obscures 'gu' but not a 'Qin' doctor, ䷗ ䷗ who offers his explanation of what 'gu' is in the course of his diagnosis, referring to 'Xi'. (but not quoting it): "淫過惑亂之所生也, 于女凶去為盈; 谷之不亦為盈乎也." note esp. insects 'bugs' in a wen (decorated?) vessel are 'gu': vermin in grain are also 'gu' (c.f. Padditions, Festivals p. 100: in the written graph, the constituent character writing 'bug' in grain constitutes 'gu' (蟲))
- Guo Heng: ䷗: 'eliminate, get rid of' as in ䷗ of modern 草掉 (id.); ䷗ 'poisonous bugs', used to symbolize a 'sly man', a crafty person; 子 (dead) father; ䷗ - hazardous; "A son who can get rid of his father's poisonous bugs, if there is a son like that, then the father will have no misfortune, although there is danger, it will be auspicious in the end." (it is ultimately auspicious)
- Guo Xingyu (quoted by guo) ䷗ and ䷗ in biographical notes: ䷗ ䷗ mortally ䷗ ䷗ "There is a son, filial [ ䷗ GSR 1168 #x09 to be filial, filial piety (孝)]
- for ䷗ see 10.6 GSR 1041d #k'og  
Guo Heng: ䷗ ䷗ ䷗ = '(a son) does his father's business. (to have a son who is wise and carries his father's business) will be without misfortune for the father; although hazardous, it will be auspicious in the end.' quotes Guo Xingyu on 草今 to used here for 草今 [GSR 1401 #kwān ䷗ 'qian - wheel axle cap, handle' → 'handle' → 'take charge of' (sense throughout hex. is lines); ䷗ means 'business' affairs' (following Shihuan, Hui Dong, Wong Yinshu (事 = ㄕ = ䷗ = 事))

- 占<sup>占</sup> GSR 140d kān > gon stem (gw) ; framework, skeleton (gw) ; body substance (g) ; born for id. occupations, duties (g) ; carry out, perform (g) ; well-amb (zhong)
- Semays, "Studies in the Lg...," p. 62, discusses <sup>占</sup> in OB briefly, with ref to detailed study of Shirokawa Shigekao ; Chong Tsung-tung translated as "posses", but Semays argues for a broader concept of "magic noxious influences" - e.g. Chong's example 2.9 貞王 <sup>王</sup> (= t:?) 佳<sup>吉</sup> 貞<sup>貞</sup> 帝<sup>好</sup>  
夢<sup>夢</sup> 不<sup>往</sup> 父<sup>父</sup> 貞<sup>往</sup> 父<sup>父</sup> <sup>王</sup> 王<sup>王</sup>, which Semays translates "Test [the proposition] : it is Father Yì who the King is suffering bad effects ; it is (because of) magic . Test [the proposition] : Lady Hsü decent ; it is not Father Yì . Test [the proposition] : it is Father Yì who causes pain to the king ." - // here there is explicit connection between g and a "stem father" <sup>占</sup> 父, Father Yì ; Semays refers us also to Shima Sōrin 386 and Li Xiaodong p. 3929.
- On Wanli ("Songs," p. 37) <sup>占</sup> means "poison". The word occurs several times in OB, but often in fragmentary contexts. Choubin <sup>占</sup> 王 6.42 is a complete example: "Divined, : It is not gu. (王, 不<sup>往</sup> <sup>占</sup> ?)." Steps from poisoning, which we would attribute to bad hygiene, the ancient blamed on gu-poison in the food, which must be exorcised through ritual such as sacrifice... The practice of gu-poison magic exists among the modern Yao people.
- Bodde (Festivals p. 100-1) : gu is well known as designation for a variety of virulent poisons and poisonous creatures ; Chabrol has demonstrated that it is of southern origin (he identifies it with the Thai culture), and that it belongs to a group of southern love charms. - // but there is a problem here - how can gu be in Shang OB and Yí, and also be of southern origin? Clearly there is a conflation of differing traditions and practices, using the one term gu to describe them. This is shown to be the case in the 3rd passage (Shus, I) Bodde translates well which brings in "sexual indulgence" as well as insects in grain, and wind and mountains. The writer of the 3rd passage had no clear idea about gu either, but this need not have been the case for the Yí text. Bodde translates the three-part Shusian list of gu ) "worms in the stomach" <sup>王占占</sup> 2.2.6,

Boddle (cont.)

2) "It is generated by nocturnal sensuality" (ref to 鬼) (春秋傳曰立春之日為百鬼之  
生日也) 3) The demon-souls (kuei 鬼) [of persons] who have suffered execution with  
public exposure who constitute ku (鬼禁死之鬼之為靈); the famous gu prescription  
involving scorpions etc in jiao is detailed only ( $\frac{1}{2}$  of the) after the Han (p. 10)

18/1 etc. see Eberhard Local Cultures 149 ff. etc on ethnology of gu, also  
superior - Boddle Festivals p. 100 ff.; Zuo, Xi 15 (p. 169 (interrog?))

and c. 18/1 etc. (H. F. Feng and J. K. Shryock) Black Dragon Chiu known as Ku  
re. diagnosis by Qin Doctor He of Mergans of Jin's disease: "In the  
Book of Changes, a woman deluding a man, and wind throwing down  
[the trees of] a mountain; are gu"

Feng & Shryock 1935: p. 4 quotes Zuo Xi 15: (translated in full) ... The  
figure found is gu, of which it is said, "The thousand chariots are put to  
flight three times. / Theyou catch what remains called the fox. / That fox  
in gu must be the mergans of Chin ..."

p. 5, "The oracle bones show that the word gu, written as insects in a  
vessel, was in existence during the Shang period. The authors of this  
monograph advance the theory that if we had the Shang explanations of the  
hexagrams, the two trigrams which in the Zhou period were held to represent  
mountains and wind, would be found to represent vessel and insects. p. 2 In  
using eight symbols to represent many things, each symbol must do more than  
single duty. The written Chinese words for mountain and vessel are very  
similar. The theory advanced is that the Trigram which in the Zhou  
period symbolized mountains, in the Shang period symbolized vessel..."  
- p. 5 connection between wind and worms very ancient

p. 6. : refs to earlier Western lit. on gu e.g. ethnographic on Miao,

The Great Religious System Vol 5, pp 826-69

p. 9 ff. : very brief sketch the practice of gu as most potent of venomous  
creatures, described first in medieval texts e.g. a medical text n. 22 of the Han  
period, can be ascribed in early China - many quotes from various later  
works, but incredibly, no effort to interpret these texts 18/1, 2, 3, 4, 5!

- R. K. - consider: gu orig. unconnected with poisoning beliefs of S.  
Chinese peoples, just referred to presence of worms in vessel (=body?),  
but in Medieval period, it was extended to cover new practices discovered  
among southern peoples

- Shirakawa Shigeko on gu: (see condense, vol 16, p. 1)

- Kongzi Lun # 579: on 18/1 T 3 Z 5: You Xingyu proposed law  
P<sub>5</sub> → P<sub>3</sub> "There is a son who is filially poor." (B. Thomas) is "plausible"  
- You Xingyu Xinzheng 2 (p. 104) Z 5 law for P<sub>3</sub> because it fits context after P<sub>1</sub> X 2 Z 5

- Bernhard Karlgren (locn # 559) 豐<sup>1</sup> 𠂇<sup>2</sup> 𠂇<sup>3</sup> : Wen yihus  
 proposed locn 豐<sup>1</sup> 𠂇<sup>2</sup> 𠂇<sup>3</sup> 'you & kün 'stem', for 豐<sup>1</sup> 𠂇<sup>2</sup> 𠂇<sup>3</sup> given & kün  
 'to be familiar with, to practice' along with Wong Bi's reading 豐<sup>1</sup> 𠂇<sup>2</sup> 𠂇<sup>3</sup>  
 locn for 𠂇<sup>2</sup> & 𠂇<sup>3</sup> paraphrased by Wong as 𠂇<sup>1</sup> (and followed by  
 Wong Yungku et al.), the line acc. to Wen, would then read "He  
 practices his father's old habits." (Karlgren's trans.) He calls the  
 locn "unnecessary," since he says 豐<sup>1</sup> is affected "(See "Dawshu") as  
 a locn for homophonous skin 'occupation'; "and may very  
 well be taken as a verb here?" K is trans. thus reads "He occupies  
 himself with => performs his father's old habits." But K is not  
 satisfied, suggests 豐<sup>1</sup> might have "its proper meaning" and proposes,  
 "He occupies himself with (sets right) what is spoiled by his  
 father." But he concludes "the whole paragraph is entirely  
 obscure, as often in the Yi."
- \* 豐<sup>1</sup> in See "Dawshu"; 23 命<sup>2</sup> 𠂇<sup>3</sup> 宁<sup>4</sup> 豐<sup>1</sup> Documents p. 57 "may you  
 find peace in your occupations and your dwellings"; 25 命<sup>2</sup> (𠂇<sup>3</sup> em:) 命<sup>2</sup> 豐<sup>1</sup> 豐<sup>2</sup> 年<sup>3</sup> 𠂇<sup>4</sup> ; 26 Documents p. 56 "you will then have  
 occupations and years in this to."

- Waley ("Chang," p. 132) 18.1.1: 豐<sup>1</sup> 𠂇<sup>2</sup> 𠂇<sup>3</sup> 'stem' (豐<sup>1</sup> merely an  
 elaboration); 豐<sup>1</sup> 𠂇<sup>2</sup> 𠂇<sup>3</sup> 'stem-father', like 豐<sup>1</sup> 𠂇<sup>2</sup> 𠂇<sup>3</sup> 'stem-mother'  
 in 18.2 refers to ancestors designated by one of the cyclical stems;  
 豐<sup>1</sup> or maggots; W. suggests <sup>but see</sup> that the animal sacrificed to ancestor  
 was identified with that ancestor, and, second, that observation of the  
 gasterone and condition of the sacrificial meat, considered ominous as  
 was everything surrounding the sacrificial victim, is what is referred  
 to here in 18.1, etc. Thus: "stem-father's maggots" means  
 the maggots which appeared in the flesh of the victim sacrificed  
 to the dead ancestor.

- RK: the stem 豐<sup>1</sup> is mentioned in 18.0
- Eberhard (Local Cultures p. 177) describes just such a custom which existed <sup>in the</sup> tribe  
 of Yunnan: "during the second month people would lie in wait in their fields until  
 a man with whiskers came by. This man was shot and his head was thrown into  
 the fields. Later on the head was inspected as to the number of worms on  
 it. This was a fertility oracle."

- Kazuka ("keiken to see" - see notes under text history) : K's hypothesis that each line referred to one of 6 days out of 7 day cyclical period would work nicely here in 18-1, 2 etc., with "stem father's <sup>vermin</sup> poison" case referring to the ancestor whose stem corresponded to that particular day tr. of Gaozi 14, 102:
  - Pullenblom "Studies in Early Chinese Grammar Part I" p44, Wenzhou said, "you speak of intestinal poison (xin), what gives rise to it? .. it is the flying up of the grain (food) that gives rise to it."
  - Shirakawa Shiraku "Beiko bunkai ji seten" pp 88-90 is a comprehensive study of <sup>in unrelated words</sup> xin in OB and early ED. (OB <sup>OB</sup> etc.) - almost always in context (F) xin, sometimes 4/t xin - doesn't discuss meaning in great detail beyond observing that xin could be concrete specific poison, vermin, or possibly <sup>aspects of</sup> in the body, or an abstract spirit which was worshipped or opposed to mind body human (p87-92) No mention of yc cases of xin
  - Murano - see 18.0 back on F the sacrifice

cf. Kangxi  
tr.

Wen Yiduo ("Lijiong," p. 28) 言 父 隹 从 作 for 隹 "make a habit of (pig) raising" (pig raising, p. 28); follows Wang Yizhi's etalon 隹. This etalon reads 隹 从 作 隹, 隹 从 作, 隹 从 作. "According to [Yi] Ziqi, his father's old habit [is] 'There is a son, and he is able - there will be no misfortune' (有子能事父母)."

Li Junchi 詞書 #69 notes Wen Yiduo produce a similar entry. (which he seems to support)

re below to  
8.1.1d RK - K.C. Chong ("Tien kou: a key to the history of the Shang," pp. 29-32): the ruling class of Shang were ~~privately~~ <sup>privately</sup> ~~divided~~ <sup>into</sup> ten units, each named by a ~~sign~~ <sup>unit</sup> sign, a one of the 10 stems. Chong calls them kou units.

They were both ritual and political entities, and the most important effect of the ten-fold division was in the application of rules for marriage and royal succession. The ten kou-units were

in turn divided into 2 main divisions, which Chong terms calls A and B.

He traces the history of Shang kingship in terms of the shift from unit to unit, in accord with the ritual rules.

(A) noting that 1,295 Shang and Zhou vessels were inscribed with a stem name. Chong concludes that many more <sup>people</sup> than just the royal family, perhaps even all Shang Chinese had stem names.

(p. 16). It is clear that from the evidence Chong gathers that a Shang Chinese would have been conscious, to which stem <sup>particular</sup> ~~ancestor~~ belonged, and it is thus plausible that he would

seek to bring the source of his ~~gen~~ ancestry <sup>ancestor</sup> into his own stem unit or, i.e., shared the same stem name, or was from another unit. Within this framework, 18.1.1 indicates

that "it is the stem-father's ~~gen~~ <sup>ancestor</sup> (cuse)"

Since, however, according to Chong's scheme, at least in the

Cf. the problematic 18.4. ~~父~~ 父 父

also see H.Y. Feng "The Chinese Kinship System" - odd he doesn't refer to 18.1 etc. when he and Shreyock wrote an article on

- T.S. Chin and Shreyock "Chinese relationship terms."

(A)  
individual  
ancestral  
ancestors, both  
male and  
female, were  
referred to by  
their stem name  
after their father  
etc.

Tear gone

on KF

RK: Scholars have for the most part been content to observe that the names K F and TC X are not as old as the names of the days themselves, i.e., ching, Tien kuo (p. 13); such allow <sup>cyclic</sup> "sons of suns," p. 319). Although allow (ibid.), believes their first appearance is on Leun ling in 1951, I know of no <sup>existing</sup> <sup>since the 7th government</sup> <sup>as follows</sup> <sup>in 1951</sup> <sup>study</sup> of the history of these terms. You could perhaps see as a metaphor like the "family tree" does in English (C) but it is also <sup>possibly</sup> relevant to the Mulberry Tree of the east, from which the ten suns, one for each day of the Sheng week (A) emerge (ibid., p. 301). Can it be that the Mulberry tree was conceived as having a three-fold trunk, each of which could bear its sun — thus the Frank system of, etc., named Jia (A), had its Jia sun, which in turn gave its name to some persons, known to their posterity as Father Jia etc., and which Ji (A), etc., are referred to more generally as "trunk fathers"? (C)

The graph 韋 (A) itself bears close scrutiny since both it and several cognate words are connected either graphically or grammatically with the stem X (GSR 140 2. 章人 (< b. 9) [shown definiens 'sunrise'], c. 章 dry, Qian symbol for Heaven; e. 章 etc.). 章 l. 47 [see Yu 1, 3 Wen Yidu gloss], 139 f BT 'sunrise' 1395 章 'drought'. A non-cognate word 章月, GSR 1143 \*tiao (K 章月 etc.) has graphic similarities.

that (C) In this case, a stem-father might instead refer to the father-ancestor father whose name coincided with the particular day on which a division was performed

- RK 18:1 韓 : consider a connection with AF 'lever'

\* - Guo Wenzhi "Shi zhi yan" (p.155 in Quanji) terms  $\dot{Z}$  and  $\dot{T}$  do not occur before Eastern Han, currently  $T$   $\dot{T}$  were referred to as  $T$   $A$ ,  $T = \dot{Z}$  referred to as  $T = \dot{F}$ ; in Huinongzi "Jinwenxian" <sup>and Huinongzi</sup>  $\dot{T}$   $\dot{Z}$  are referred to as 'brothers and children' (slig:  $T$   $Z$   $T = \dot{Z}$ ), from these among terms evolved the terms 辛 and 壬 (Baishutong: "甲乙有辛也, 丙丁有壬也"), these were in turn simplified <sup>abbreviated</sup> to just 干支 (Zunheng "甲乙有支干") ; RK: NB 辛 壬 precede T, and also in the form

Margot Topley ("Cosmic Antagonisms: a Mother-Child Syndrome")

studied the beliefs of modern Chinese immigrants in Hong Kong about poisonous conditions of child existing in children resulting from conflicts between their horoscopes and those of their mothers. I.e., their apical characters are not compatible. This poisoning can be connected well by clashes with a father or an ancestor. (p. 241) When such poison is suspected one consults a diviner (p. 240). Cholera, dysentery, smallpox, leprosy, measles, bubonic plague, and epilepsy are all poisonous disorders. (p. 234).

This belief is very similar to the situation reflected in the Yi-ze (pp. 18.1.1, 18.2.1, etc.)

Eugene Cooper in section systems summarizes Cheng and others on the sociological and genealogical significance of the ten heaven systems. (p. 327) - further argues for an evolution to greater complexity in Zhou - see below p. 335.

p. 330: ten-section model also includes practice of sister exchange. Cf. Yi 54.1 etc. 17 ff in K.C.

- uses term stem quite naturally in describing matrilines and patrilines

p. 335: ancient system of alliance - reaffirmed through marriage every other generation in Zhou, evolved into one in which in Zhou-mn system of Zhou, it could not be reaffirmed before lapse of 3 generations - more complex.

- both F.Y. Fleg and Huyck wrote classic articles on kinship in the 30's (Feng: "The Chinese Kinship System" and Huyck: "Chinese Relationships: Terms"), and living also dealt with gu, one might have expected them to consider Yi 18.1 etc. - yet there is no mention of the Yi classic text in either article, either 18. or the quite relevant 54.1 etc. with regard to the "sonorate".

- K.C. Cheng Strong Cw p. 168 Strong and WZ BI show gu apical designations "were apparently employed for ancestral names outside the royal family as well." p. 168 - 189 summarizes E.F. theory; p. 174, 1889 describes "link-line" of kingly succession; p. 183 "under such a [Yi 7 / Ding 7] system kings from neighbouring generations were classificatory brothers and sons to each other but not blood brothers and sons."

(K.C. P.H. Lee, Y.F. Ruey)

K.C.Cheng (cont.) p. 185 : "new school" i.e. Zugia <sup>eg.</sup> ~~Zugia~~ ♀,  
"perfumed rituals and gave offerings to all ancestral kings both on the  
trunk line (干 父) and on the branches (枝 父)

- Lu Gwei-djen and Joseph Needham, "Records of Diseases in Ancient China" p.<sup>225</sup><sub>26</sub>,  
on gu 001 term indicates insects or worms in a vessel; some of  
poisons artificially prepared by man is later; are identified by  
Fan Xingzhen et al. (Fan Hsing-chen) as *schistosomiasis* because in  
part gu often appears with zhong 蛔 (寄生虫) and hence indicates without a  
doubt oedematous conditions of voracious kinds, in particular ascites."

18.2.1 幹母之蠱

18.2

18.2.2 不可貞

- Gao Hong : 女 : eliminate; 女 'poisonous boys'; metaphor for 面見; 不可貞 'what is desired cannot be carried out': "A son wants to get rid of his mother's poisonous boys, (for example he wants to get rid of his mother's lover), and this is something that cannot be done." (In the Changan period because of Duke Ling of Wei  
父兄之亂, Nongzi 南子, had an illicit affair with Gonggongzhuo 'a 于女 of Song 宋女. Her son Kui Kui 崔賈 wanted to get rid of him, but in the end he himself was stripped of his power+position (失其職位 with chin) - this is the type of situation.) ; when the 道學 divides 不可貞 into "his mother didn't behave it could be done", but for a son to work on his mother's behalf is correct (行 = 行); giving: "To do his mother's work, (this is) an 'impermissible' division (a division which does not permit action)." (because men's duties differ from women's)
- Waley ("Book", "changes" p.132) 18.2.1: "Steal-mother's maggots."
- Wen Yiduo "Lesson" p.28 18.2.1 analogous with 18.1.1 = "He practices his mother's old ~~buffalo~~ habits."
- 18.2.2 RK: alt. interp: "Cannot be determined."

18.3.1 轉父之靈

18.3

18.3.2 小有悔

18.3.3 元大咎

- Go Hong: "If a son gets out of his father's 'poisonous barge' (he will be angrily scolded by his father, and there will be a minor tiny amount of trouble, but there will be no great misfortune.); giving same as 18.1-2

18.4.1 父之富

18.4.2 往見父

18.4.1 父之富 GSR 1202h \*ging > yu ample, abundant (shu); liberal, indulgent (she); loan for  
d. in R wish, intention (she) gl. 1630 \*

- Qiu Feng 裴 : "tolerate" (富 容) ; "if a son tolerates his father's possessive  
ways, going forward will meet with difficulties (since a son's like possessive  
ways' will destroy his father's enterprise (事業) ; gizing : 富 is perhaps  
a mistake for 停 (of similar <sup>graph</sup> form) 'stop' (originally a 'don-stop'; cf. 開);  
"if a father is doing something, and his son wants to stop him, and obtains his  
line, then even though he goes to see his father, it will be difficult to get  
him to agree (莫往得其止).": "stops father's business, going will meet  
with difficulties."

- 18.4.1 Jin Yu 貢 這 in Xinshu 新書 "Xinshu" defines you thus:  
包 富 物謂之富 "To include a plethora and contain/tolerate  
things is called you." (< Gu Hanyu p.308) — thus you mean "broad"  
"tolerant"; orig. "ample clothing" (see Shanqizhen (no eq.) <sup>feudal</sup> Shanwen)

- RK: note that the desire to read 富 here as a verb, parallel with 許 in 18.1c,  
leads to forced readings and unnecessary emendations

[sic.]

- Wen Yiduo ("Feizi" p.28) 富 should be read as 富 [GSR #4182 KWEN]  
given royal robe (<sup>embroidered</sup> with dragons, blazoned (shu)] — this is also loan for 富,  
thus 18.4.1 = 18.1.1

new "garments ample"

- Shanwen 富 (8A/232): 物食饑也...易日有孚富無咎 (35.1, but varies)

- 富 appears twice: here and in 35.1

- RK: some of the hypotheses of anthropologists, especially K.C.Cheng (see 18.1  
above) concerning the role of the ten stem-nouns in marriage and  
succession practices during the Shang have interesting implications suggest  
the possibility that 18.4.1 富 'ample, liberal' might be the  
counterpart term to 'stem-father' 父 in 18.1 and 18.2. Here  
富 is not meant "father literally-constrained" "bound father"  
but merely an ancestor from another stem-unit, or the other  
fathers other than "convenient one,"

division, A or B? (For the constructor, see Chong Tien-kou p. 29ff.) Could the concrete sense of 'loose-fitting clothes' which the graph suggested to M. Xu then have any reference here? Or might it give the a look for another word? (Fù)

(B) Guo Moruo  
Shi zhi gan 7b  
Quanzhi p. 1683

another interpretation which draws on the mythological traditions surrounding the Mulberry Tree of the east, from which come the ten suns. Correlated with the suns are the 'one for each day' jīn yu, bing, etc. (see Allen "Sons of suns" pp. 319), or to read Fù xīng as loan for \*tuk, \*gink 'valley', which serves as phonetic in Fù. Corresponding to an reading of understanding of "stem-father" as a reference to the Mulberry Tree, we may understand 'valley' to be a reference to the Tong Valley 洞谷, the 'Hot-water Valley' (in which the two tradition said the Mulberry Tree grew and in which the ten suns bathed/reddened/bathed (Shanhaijing, Huainanzi, Chu ci), translated and discussed in Allen "Sons of suns," pp. 295-6). By this interp. 18.4.1 "a valley-father's" pestilence/curse "refers to those fathers whose stem-gon designation differ from the current day, and whose suns, as a result rest in the valley.

- also related, is 之 in "bothe", as in Shanhaijing "Bohuang nongjin" 大荒南經: 东海外, 甘水之间, 有章阳之国, 有女子名曰羲和, 方浴日 [引. from 甘浴 by Yunke 袁珂 神话选释 pp. 260-1] 有之水, 羲和之妻, [是]生十日; also "湯谷上有扶桑, + A bothe 汤谷...". Guo Moruo ("Shi zhi gan" 7b, 1683 in Quanzhi) also cites this passage, and concludes that this tradition originated with the Shang people, who attributed it to their ancestors.

MS has 之 for Fù (Wenwu 1984.3) GSR 1202f; Fù xīng in 之 in "bothe wash (Bohuang)" ; Mawangdui Large seals have 之 in a different font - N.C. Loo To Te Cheng pp 272-3 洞谷 (之) 神下 Fù... The spirit of the valley never dies... "RK: lg. of Bo-hu-chap 6 implies that Sun is being referred to as 之 (or 之) 神, thus "bothe" is possible, just as "valley" is.

18.5.1 軒父之靈

18.5

18.5.2 用 易

- Qiu Heng: "(A son) gets rid of his father's 'poisonous bugs,' and thereby ~~too~~ goes a reputation, his fame (ta ga 爾嘉)"; giving: "So (a son) does his father's business, <sup>will</sup> achieves fame."

18.6.1 不事王侯  
18.6.2 高尚其事  
[18.6.3 德亮]

18.6

- Gao Heng: for the 2nd 爭 graph the pseudo-Mengzi wished to get to Wenzhou chapter 2 in 論語 goes on with 而之, which must have some basis and should be adopted. In addition, Mengde MS. adds please at end 德亮, which should be incorporated. 德亮 reader 德亮 get '德' reader 德亮 ominous. The line, then, refers to Bo Yi 伯夷 and Shun Qi 孫子: "Yi and Qi did not serve king as feudal prince (did not become unruly official 賤官), lofty and exalted 奉公 was their will (高尚其志), but they got misfortune (dying of starvation on Mt. Shanyang 尚山死). Or alternatively, 德亮 'virtuous behavior': "(Bo Yi and Qi resisted the Zhou regime and the Zhou people considered that) their virtuous behavior to be was ominous" ); Gaojing: "means to withdraw into seclusion and not engage in official life 退隱 of the ancients declined about official service and obtained this line, then they should not serve, and it would be all right,"

- Yu Yonghong ("Yi guoyue... p. 16): 18.6.1-2 perhaps refers to Bo Yi and Shun Qi's refusal to serve